

CADTH ISSUES IN EMERGING HEALTH TECHNOLOGIES

Informing Decisions About New Health Technologies

Issue

April

172

2018

New Technologies
for the Treatment of
Peripheral
Artery
Disease

ATHEROSCLEROSIS
NORMAL ARTERY



Authors: Leigh-Ann Topfer, Carolyn Spry

Cite as: New technologies for the treatment of peripheral artery disease. Ottawa: CADTH; 2018. (CADTH issues in emerging health technologies; issue 172).

Acknowledgments: CADTH thanks the external reviewers who kindly provided comments on an earlier draft of this bulletin.

ISSN: 1488-6324 (online)

Disclaimer. The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While CADTH has taken care to ensure that the information prepared by it in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein are those of CADTH and do not necessarily represent the views of Canada's federal, provincial, or territorial governments.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own rick.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. You are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

Contact requests@cadth.ca with inquiries about this notice or legal matters relating to CADTH services.



Summary

- Peripheral artery disease (PAD) is primarily caused by plaque in the arteries that supply blood to the body. It generally indicates systemic atherosclerosis and an increased risk for cardiovascular events.
- People with diabetes, those who smoke, the elderly, and those with cardiovascular disease are particularly at risk for PAD.
- This bulletin highlights some of the issues concerning PAD diagnosis and treatment in Canada, and summarizes the available information on two of the many new endovascular devices used to treat PAD in the legs:
 - Shockwave Lithoplasty System (Shockwave Medical): balloon angioplasty with lithotripsy (sound waves) to disrupt calcified plaque
 - Pantheris Lumivascular Atherectomy System (Avinger, Inc.): directional atherectomy guided by optical coherence tomography.
- Currently there is a lack of good quality, comparative evidence to guide clinical practice on many of the new endovascular technologies used to treat PAD.

Background

Peripheral artery disease is caused by atherosclerosis, or the build-up of plaque (fatty deposits) and calcification in the walls of the arteries. Most commonly, PAD affects the arteries that supply blood to the legs and feet. 1-3

As well as narrowing and blocking the arteries, the artery walls stiffen, preventing them from dilating to increase blood flow. Insufficient blood flow can result in cramping, leg pain, and muscle fatigue, that makes walking difficult and affects quality of life.^{4,5}

Individuals with symptoms of PAD may have either:

- Intermittent claudication: Claudication is leg pain, usually in the calf but sometimes the hip, buttock muscles or lower back, that occurs while walking or exercising and can cause limping.⁶ The pain is relieved at rest.^{7,8}
- Critical limb ischemia: Ischemia is inadequate blood flow causing insufficient oxygen supply. It is caused by severe PAD and is manifested by leg pain (even at rest), numbness, coldness or pain in the feet and legs, and non-healing leg or foot ulcers.⁷ Critical limb ischemia is a major cause of limb amputation.⁹⁻¹¹



About half of people who have PAD are asymptomatic, but whether or not it causes symptoms, PAD can indicate systemic atherosclerosis and an increased risk for heart disease and stroke. 18,9,12,13

Many new devices, and new generations of older devices, are now available for less invasive, endovascular treatment of PAD.^{14,15} This bulletin focuses on two of these new technologies, one an atherectomy device with enhanced imaging guidance and the other a type of balloon angioplasty combined with lithotripsy that may improve treatment of calcified lesions.

The Technology

Minimally invasive endovascular treatments to expand the opening of the artery, such as angioplasty or atherectomy, are now used more often than bypass surgery as the initial treatment approach for PAD. ¹⁶ The two main types of endovascular treatments for PAD are:

1. Balloon Angioplasty With Stenting: Sometimes called percutaneous transluminal angioplasty, this procedure uses a balloon tipped catheter, advanced over a thin guide wire, and filled with contrast fluid to push the plaque against the wall of the artery, widening the opening to increase blood flow. Some of the newer angioplasty technologies also use a drug-coated balloon to reduce post-treatment cell proliferation or restenosis (when narrowing of the diameter of the artery recurs after treatment). A bare metal

or drug-eluting stent may also be inserted to try to prevent restenosis, but stents cannot always be used.¹⁷

Balloon angioplasty is performed using angiography (X-ray imaging with contrast media to show blood flow). Increasingly, balloon angioplasty is used in conjunction with other therapies and less as a standalone treatment.¹⁸

2. Atherectomy: This procedure is also performed using a catheter inserted within the blood vessel to remove or debulk plaque and enlarge the internal opening of the artery (or lumen). It may be used alone, or in combination with balloon angioplasty, to facilitate the placement of stents or to improve patency without using a stent, or to improve drug absorption by removing plaque before the use of angioplasty and drug-coated balloons.^{8,19-21}

Atherectomy technologies debulk and remove plaque using different mechanisms, including cutting, shaving, drilling, sanding, or lasering.²² Many different atherectomy devices are commercially available.^{19,23,24} The type of blockage and location of the lesion can affect the choice of atherectomy device used.¹⁹ Some atherectomy devices are indicated for use only in the larger arteries above the knee, while other devices have smaller catheters that allow them to be used in the arteries below the knee.

Atherectomy devices are often categorized by the way in which they remove the plaque (Table 1).

Table 1: Types of Atherectomy Devices

Туре	Description	Examples
Directional or excisional atherectomy	Plaque is removed by cutting in one direction and is captured in the nose cone or other part of the catheter and removed when the device is withdrawn. ²²⁻²⁴	TurboHawk ^a and SilverHawk ^a peripheral plaque excision systems (Medtronic). ^{23,25}
Orbital atherectomy	Plaque clears continuously as it is pulverized into tiny particles by the sanding action of the crown of the catheter as it spins in various elliptical orbits. ^{23,24}	Diamondback 360 Peripheral Orbital Atherectomy System (Cardiovascular Systems). ²⁶
Laser ablation (photoablative) atherectomy	Plaque is vaporized. ²³	Turbo-Elite ^a and Turbo-Tandem ^a excimer laser catheters, used with the CVX-300 excimer laser system* (Spectranetics). ^{23,25}
Rotational atherectomy	Plaque is cut using tiny blades on the tip, and in some systems, the neck or side, of the catheter, and aspirated into the catheter.	Jetstream Atherectomy System ^a (Boston Scientific). 19,22,23,25

^aLicenced in Canada.



The focus of this bulletin is on two of the many new endovascular devices:

- Lithoplasty (Shockwave Medical) This is a technology that combines a balloon angioplasty catheter with the use of sound waves, similar to that used for kidney stones. 27 Calcified stenoses are more difficult to treat and the calcium deposits make the use of drug-coated balloons and stents less effective. 27,28 Emitters on the Lithoplasty catheter deliver pulses of sound waves around the interior of the artery wall to break up superficial and deeper calcifications before angioplasty balloon inflation. 15,29-32 The Lithoplasty system is intended to fracture calcifications and allow lower pressure balloon expansion, while minimizing damage to the artery wall. 15 The system consists of a single-use balloon catheter with multiple lithotripsy emitters, and a reusable generator and connector cable. 29,31
- Pantheris Lumivascular Atherectomy System (Avinger, Inc.) — This is a directional atherectomy system that includes optical coherence tomography. Optical coherence tomography is an imaging technology (often used in eye exams) that uses light to provide threedimensional visual guidance during the procedure (as opposed to two-dimensional X-ray images with fluoroscopy).^{21,33} The manufacturer suggests that this allows for better navigation to and removal of plaque, and reduces the risk of damage to the artery wall.^{21,34} Imaging guidance with optical coherence tomography may reduce the use of contrast agents, possibly allowing patients with kidney disease to receive treatment, and reduce exposure to radiation from fluoroscopic imaging guidance.^{21,34,35} The currently approved indication for the Pantheris system is as an adjunct to fluoroscopy.36

The Pantheris system consists of the Pantheris catheter, occlusion sheath, Lightbox imaging sled, and Lightbox imaging console.²¹ The Pantheris catheter is 135 cm long with an optical fibre component for the optical coherence tomography.²¹ The nose cone of the catheter collects the excised plaque and tissue.²¹

Availability

Neither the Lithoplasty nor the Pantheris systems currently have Health Canada medical device licenses.²⁵

The Lithoplasty system received FDA 510(k) approval in 2016,^{31,37} and has been available in Europe since 2015.¹⁵ The approved US indication for the Lithoplasty system is for "... lithotripsy-enhanced balloon dilatation of lesions, including calcified lesions, in the peripheral vasculature, including the iliac, femoral, iliofemoral, popliteal, infra-popliteal, and renal arteries. Not for use in the coronary or cerebral vasculature."^{31,37} The Lithoplasty system is not intended for the treatment of in-stent restenosis.²⁹ In Europe, the Shockwave Medical Coronary Rx Lithoplasty System is commercially available for the treatment of calcification in stenotic coronary arteries before the use of stents.^{38,39}

The Pantheris system received US FDA 510(k) approvals in 2015⁴⁰ and 510(k) approval of minor modifications in 2016.^{36,41,42} In the US, the approved indication for Pantheris is "to remove plague (atherectomy) from partially occluded vessels in the peripheral vasculature with a reference diameter of 3.0 mm to 7.0 mm, using OCT-assisted orientation and imaging. The system is an adjunct to fluoroscopy by providing images of vessel lumen, wall structures and vessel morphologies. The Pantheris System is NOT intended for use in the iliac, coronary, cerebral, renal or carotid vasculature."36 The latest generation of the Pantheris system received the CE (Conformité Européene) Mark, allowing it to be marketed in Europe, in December 2017, and the company now plans to file for US approval of the new system, which may also be used in below-the-knee procedures. 34,43 According to the manufacturer, the new generation of the Pantheris system includes a simplified, singleballoon system, stiffer material to aid in insertion into the vessel, a sturdier nose cone with greater storage capacity, and an improved cutting tip.34

Cost

The Canadian cost of these two devices is not yet known and no information on US costs was identified in the literature or provided by the manufacturers.

Who Might Benefit?

About 800,000 Canadians, or an estimated 4% of those over the age of 40, may have PAD. 1,7 This is likely an underestimate as many people with PAD do not have documented symptoms and have not been diagnosed with the disease. PAD is more common in older people (affecting an estimated 20% of those over the age of 65). 44



Risk factors for PAD include diabetes, smoking, high cholesterol, and high blood pressure.¹⁰ Rates of diabetes and smoking are higher in low income communities.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷

People with diabetes are at much higher risk for PAD.⁴⁸ Diabetic foot ulcers are mainly caused by chronic nerve damage (peripheral neuropathy) and ischemia resulting from peripheral vascular disease.^{49,50} About half of those with diabetic foot ulcers also have PAD — which both contributes to ulcer formation and further impedes wound healing, increasing the risk of amputation.^{10,51} Individuals with diabetes have a much greater risk of undergoing a lower limb amputation than those who do not have diabetes.⁵² Many amputations for PAD could be avoided through earlier diagnosis, preventive measures, and use of endovascular or surgical revascularization.^{5,53-55}

Current Practice

Diagnosis

A diagnosis of PAD usually requires the patient's clinical history, a physical examination, and a review of symptoms of claudication, along with an ankle-brachial index (ABI) test. 10,56 The ABI, which generates a ratio blood pressure at the ankle versus arm, is usually the first diagnostic test used to detect PAD.^{10,57,58} Hand-held Doppler ultrasound may also be used to assess arterial blood flow.^{2,59} People with diabetes, kidney failure, or rheumatoid arthritis may have a falsely elevated ABI due to stiff arteries caused by calcification.3 For these individuals, a toe brachial index test or other diagnostic tests are needed. 7,10,49,57,60 These measurements can indicate PAD, but not the precise location of a blockage, so further tests may be needed. This will likely require referral to a vascular laboratory for further testing, which may include: exercise testing (such as a treadmill or six-minute walking test), duplex ultrasound, segmental arterial limb pressures (blood pressure taken at various places on the leg), pulse volume recordings, and oxygen testing. 2,8,12,53,57,61,62 Magnetic resonance angiography or computer tomography angiography, or more invasive angiography may also be needed to plan treatment. 57,61

The benefits of population-based screening for PAD is still an area of uncertainty, but screening is generally recommended for older adults with known cardiovascular risk factors, people with diabetes or symptoms of PAD, and all individuals over a certain age. 12,56,58,63 The US Preventive Services Task Force is currently updating its 2013 recommendations on screening for PAD. 64

Leg ulcers may be caused by insufficient venous or arterial blood flow. Some patients will have both venous insufficiency and PAD, and determining the cause is necessary as treatments may differ.⁶⁵

Treatment

Initial therapy for PAD includes modification of cardiovascular risk factors — in particular, smoking cessation and dietary changes to reduce cholesterol and hypertension.^{7,61,66} Walking and supervised or home exercise programs are recommended to improve circulation, functioning, and quality of life.^{3,7,11,13,67} Drug therapies to control cardiovascular risk factors are also recommended, including drugs to control diabetes, hypertension, and cholesterol levels, as well as antiplatelet medications to prevent blood clots.^{7,56,59,61,68,70} For some patients with intermittent claudication, drugs such as pentoxifylline may be prescribed to improve blood flow in the legs.⁸ Many people with PAD do not receive appropriate risk factor modification therapies.^{3,70}

Early diagnosis and multidisciplinary care can reduce the progression of PAD, improve quality of life, and reduce the risk for amputation.^{71,72} Multidisciplinary care involves a formal care pathway that includes clinical experts in smoking cessation, hypertension, diabetes, podiatry, wound care, endovascular interventions, and vascular surgery.^{11,71,73}

Patients with severe PAD (disabling claudication or critical limb ischemia) may be treated with either endovascular therapy or vascular surgery. Which type of revascularization treatment is used, and the success of treatment, depends on the type of lesion (stenosis [narrowing] or total occlusion [blockage]), the location and length of the blockage, the amount of calcification, the amount of damage to the artery, and the patient's comorbidities and overall health. 67,74,75 Not all patients have vascular access that can accommodate the use of endovascular techniques or lesions that can be treated with endovascular methods. 7,23,56

Endovascular Therapy

Endovascular, catheter-based therapies, including balloon angioplasty and atherectomy, are less invasive alternatives to vascular surgery that are usually performed as outpatient procedures by vascular interventional radiologists. Compared with vascular surgery, endovascular interventions avoid the need for general anaesthesia and have a shorter recovery



period.^{6,7} Although vessel patency may not last as long as that achieved with vascular surgery, endovascular procedures can be repeated if necessary.⁶ Both types of procedures carry risks, but endovascular options usually have fewer complications and also offer a treatment option for patients who are too frail to undergo general anaesthesia and surgery.^{6,16}

Endovascular therapies for PAD may also use drug-eluting stents and drug-coated balloons, or micro-infusion balloon devices to deliver drugs to prevent restenosis. However, instent restenosis can result in renewed blockage. Instent restenosis may be treated with repeat balloon angioplasty, cutting balloon angioplasty, drug-coated balloon angioplasty, additional stents, or atherectomy. All of these require crossing the blockage within the stent with a wire before the subsequent procedure can be performed. Some atherectomy devices are approved for treating in-stent restenosis, but others are contraindicated for this indication.

Patients who undergo endovascular treatment for PAD may also receive anticoagulants and/or antiplatelet drugs to reduce the risk of thrombosis. ^{23,77} Distal embolization (debris, such as a blood clot, tissue, plaque, or other material dislodged during the procedure that may obstruct blood flow) is a potential complication in endovascular interventions. ⁷⁸ Embolization protection devices (filters) may be used to reduce this risk during the procedure. ^{23,74} Use of these devices adds to the cost of the procedure and whether they are used appears to be at the discretion of the physician. ⁷⁹ Antiplatelet drugs, such as clopidogrel, to prevent clotting may also be prescribed for one to six months after the treatment, followed by Aspirin monotherapy, but actual practice varies. ^{11,78}

Vascular Surgery

Patients with severe PAD need an urgent referral to a vascular specialist, such as a vascular interventional radiologist or vascular surgeon.⁷ For some patients, vascular surgery may be needed to remove blockages or create a bypass around the blockage to improve blood circulation.^{7,59} As US clinicians noted in 2016: "... with few exceptions, all patients with CLI [critical limb ischemia] and salvageable limbs should receive an attempt at revascularization before amputation. If such expertise is not available, a referral to centres with such expertise should be considered."¹¹ The UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance on PAD also recommends that, for patients with CLI, all revascularization treatment options for a patient should be assessed by a multidisciplinary team before considering amputation.⁵⁹

Methods

These bulletins are not systematic reviews and do not involve a detailed critical appraisal. They are not intended to provide recommendations for or against a particular technology.

Literature Search

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, The Cochrane Library and The University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD). Grey literature was identified by searching relevant sections of the Grey Matters checklist (https://www.cadth.ca/grey-matters). No methodological filters were applied. The search was limited to English-language documents published between January 1, 2012 and September 29, 2017. Regular alerts updated the search until project completion; only citations retrieved before February 28, 2018 were incorporated into the analysis. Conference abstracts were excluded from the search results.

Study Selection

One author screened the literature search results and reviewed the full text of all potentially relevant studies. Studies were considered for inclusion if the intervention included treatments for PAD using the Pantheris or Lithoplasty systems.

Peer Review

A draft version of this bulletin was reviewed by three clinical experts. The manufacturers were also given the opportunity to comment on an earlier draft but neither provided comments or information.

The Evidence

In addition to the systems used for angioplasty and atherectomy, PAD procedures use various types of catheters, guidewires, stents (bare metal, drug-eluting, or covered), and angioplasty balloons (plain or drug-coated). 8,16,76 Variations in the individual patient's vascular system, comorbidities, the experience and skills of the physician, and the many different auxiliary devices used, can also make it difficult to attribute outcomes to a particular technology. Several systematic



reviews have investigated the comparative effectiveness of different interventions (including endovascular therapies) for PAD, but the Lithoplasty and Pantheris devices were not included in these evaluations. 16,80,81

Lithoplasty Studies

For the Lithoplasty system we found one published report of the DISRUPT PAD I study,²⁷ a summary of the findings of the DISRUPT PAD trials to date (I and II) included in the US FDA 510k approval,³⁷ and a press release summary of the belowthe-knee study,²² summarized in Table 2. Studies of Shockwave Medical's Lithoplasty balloon catheter for coronary artery disease (a case report³⁹ and a report on 31 patients in the DISRUPT CAD study²⁸) are not discussed here.

The DISRUPT PAD III study is ongoing. ⁸³ DISRUPT PAD III is a randomized controlled trial which is currently recruiting patients (target sample size of 334) at 45 centres worldwide, with two-year follow-up of patients. It will compare Lithoplasty in combination with drug-coated balloon angioplasty to conventional balloon angioplasty with a drug-coated balloon. ^{30,83} Up to 250 patients who do not meet the inclusion criteria for the PAD III trial will be enrolled in an observational study to obtain further "real-world" evidence. ⁸³ Shockwave Medical is also investigating the use of Lithoplasty in the treatment of aortic valve stenosis (as an alternative to valve implantation), coronary artery stenosis, and PAD in below-the-knee arteries. ^{15,38,39,84}

Table 2: Summary of the Evidence: Lithoplasty System

Study Name, Author, Publication Year NCT # Location	Patient Characteristics Sample Size	Study Design and Funding	Intervention Comparator	Outcomes	Key Findings
DISRUPT PAD I Brodmann M, et al. (2017) ²⁷ NCT02071108 Austria, New Zealand, Germany	n = 35 patients with moderate to severely calcified femoropopliteal lesions, average initial stenosis 76.3%, average lesion length 61.5 mm; severe calcification in 64.1% of patients; average calcified length of 80.3 mm	Single-arm, multi-centre, prospective study funded by Shockwave Medical	Lithoplasty with balloon angioplasty, with/ without adjunctive angioplasty No comparator	Primary safety end point major adverse events to 6 months (see Safety section) Procedural success defined as < 50% residual stenosis with/ without adjunctive angioplasty	< 50% residual stenosis achieved in all patients (residual stenosis averaged 23.4%) 100% vessel patency at 30 days, 82.1% patency at 6 months
DISRUPT PAD (I and II, 2 phase study) Summary of clinical data from US FDA 510(k) approval & company website (2016) ^{37,85} NCT02071108 NCT02369848 Austria, New Zealand, Germany	n = 95 patients with moderate to severe calcified femoropopliteal lesions (≤ 15 cm in length, Rutherford Category 2,3 & 4, severe calcification in about half of the patients)	Single-arm, multi-centre, prospective Funded by Shockwave Medical	Lithoplasty with balloon angioplasty, with/ without adjunctive angioplasty No comparator	Primary safety end point major adverse events to 6 months (see Safety section) Procedural success defined as < 50% stenosis with/without balloon angioplasty	94 of 95 patients received Lithoplasty, target lesion patency achieved in 91.6% of patients, average patency at 6 months was 76.7%, average freedom from TLR at 6 months was 96.8% Functional outcomes, including walking, significantly improved at 6 months



Study Name, Author, Publication Year NCT # Location	Patient Characteristics Sample Size	Study Design and Funding	Intervention Comparator	Outcomes	Key Findings
DISRUPT BTK Summary from company press release Brodmann M, et al.(2017)82	n = 20 patients with moderate or severe calcification in arteries below the knee (80% had critical limb ischemia)	Prospective, single-arm, multi-centre Funded by Shockwave Medical	Lithoplasty with balloon angioplasty; No comparator	Primary safety end point major adverse events to 30-day follow-up (see Safety section)	Average residual stenosis post Lithoplasty was 27%
NCT02911623				Procedural success defined as post Lithoplasty residual stenosis of ≤ 50%)	

BTK = below the knee; ISR = in-stent restenosis; NCT = National Clinical Trial number; PAD = peripheral artery disease; TLR = target lesion revascularization.

Pantheris Studies

We found one published study of the Pantheris system (a final report of the VISION study), ^{21,86} and a summary of case reports (Table 3). ³⁵ Studies of Avinger's Ocelot optical coherence catheter for guidewire crossing of chronic total occlusions, ^{87,88} and a report of one centre's experience with 11 patients from the VISION study. ⁸⁶ are not included here.

One ongoing, single-arm, multicentre trial was identified. The INSIGHT trial (EvaluatIoN of the Pantheris Optical Coherence Tomography ImaGing AtHerectomy SysTem For Treatment of In-Stent Restenosis (ISR) Lesions In Lower Extremity Arteries) began in October 2017 and will be used to support a US FDA application for an expanded indication of treating in-stent restenosis in peripheral arteries.³⁴ The INSIGHT trial will enroll up to 140 patients at 20 centres in the US and internationally.^{34,89}

Table 3: Summary of Evidence for Pantheris

Study Name, Author, Publication Year NCT # Location	Patient Characteristics Sample Size	Study Design and Funding	Intervention Comparator	Outcomes	Study Author's Conclusions
VISION (EValuation of the Pantheris Optical Coherence Tomography ImagiNg Atherectomy System) Schwindt AG, et al. (2017) ²¹ NCT01937351 Germany and US	Patients with symptomatic, superficial femoropopliteal PAD 158 patients (87 men, average age 67; 133 were smokers, 69 had diabetes) 198 lesions, most (160) considered moderate & located in the SFA, average lesion length 53 mm, average stenosis 78.7%, 40 lesions (20.2%) were CTOs)	Prospective, single-arm, multi-centre, investigational device exemption trial Funded by Avinger, Inc.	Pantheris catheter only, or Pantheris plus Adjunctive treatment ^a No comparator	Primary safety end point major adverse events to 6 months (see Safety section) Primary efficacy outcome (technical success) defined as % of target lesions with ≤ 50% stenosis after Pantheris treatment only	≤ 50% residual stenosis in 192 of 198 target lesions treated with Pantheris (with or without adjunctive treatments) Average baseline stenosis 78.7% reduced to 30.3% with Pantheris only, and to 22.4% after Pantheris and adjunctive treatments



Study Name, Author, Publication Year NCT # Location	Patient Characteristics Sample Size	Study Design and Funding	Intervention Comparator	Outcomes	Study Author's Conclusions
	Exclusion criteria: moderate-severe calcifications, lesions within a graft or iliac artery, restenotic lesions, and acute ischemia or thrombosis			Procedural success defined as reduction in stenosis to ≤ 30% after Pantheris plus adjunctive treatment	Fluoroscopy underestimated lesion length compared with measurement with Pantheris optical coherence tomography. Reliance on fluoroscopy only could result in under treatment of lesions
Lichtenberg MK, et al. (2017) ³⁵ Europe and US	Patients with PAD and instent restenosis European patients: 21 patients at 6 centres; pre-procedural stenosis was 87%, average lesion length 172 mm, 67% of lesions had mild-to-moderate calcification, 67% of ISR lesions in the SFA, 14% in the popliteal, 14% in SFA and popliteal, and 5% in SFA and iliac arteries. US patients: 73 patients at 6 centres; average pre-procedural stenosis 84%, 47% of the lesions had mild-to-moderate calcification; 79% of ISR lesions in the SFA, 8% in SFA and popliteal, and remainder in tibial or popliteal and tibial arteries	Summary of retrospective case series (presented within review article)	European patients: Pantheris OCT-guided atherectomy ^b US patients: Pantheris OCT-guided atherectomy ^c No comparator	Adverse events (see Safety section) Procedural success defined as < 30% residual stenosis	European patients: procedural success achieved in all patients (average of 10% residual stenosis post Pantheris, and 5% final residual stenosis) US patients: treatment success achieved in 98.6% (72 of 73) patients, average of 20% residual stenosis post Pantheris

CTO = chronic total occlusions; ISR = in-stent restenosis; NCT = National Clinical Trial number; OCT = optical coherence tomography; PAD = peripheral artery disease; SFA = superficial femoral artery.

^a adjunctive treatments: angioplasty with/without stenting at the discretion of the physician.

b95% of patients also received adjunctive drug-coated balloon angioplasty; embolic protection devices were used in 76% of patients.

eProcedure followed by percutaneous transluminal angioplasty in 25% of patients and drug-coated balloon angioplasty in 65% of patients; embolic protection devices were used in 72%.



Safety

Various complications may occur with endovascular procedures for PAD. These may be as a result of operator error or inexperience, device malfunction, or inappropriate (off-label) use of the device.²³

Common adverse events reported^{11,23} to be associated with endovascular procedures for PAD include:

- complications at the access site for the catheter, such as bleeding
- distal embolization (particularly with atherectomy
- perforation
- dissection
- device entanglement (for catheters with rotating components), which can occur with existing stents, or in the fabric of stent grafts, or with the tissue lining the artery)
- · injury caused by the guidewire
- · infection.

Pantheris

In the VISION study, 25 of the 151 participants who completed the six-month follow-up experienced major adverse events.²¹ Six patients experienced seven device-related major adverse events: four clinically significant emboli, two dissections, and one pseudoaneurysm.²¹ Other adverse events were cardiovascular-related death (four patients), heart attack (three patients), and the need for target lesion revascularization (12 patients). The six-month target lesion revascularization rate was 7.9% in all participants, including those with chronic total occlusions.²¹ Less than 1% of lesion tissue (from 196 of the 198 lesions) analyzed post-procedure showed evidence of damage to the adventitia (the outer wall of the artery), which may confirm the value of optical coherence tomography in delineating plague from the wall of the artery.²¹ Moreover, lesion length as determined with the Pantheris system was longer than that indicated by fluoroscopy, and treating the longer lengths may help to reduce the need for subsequent revascularizations.21

The summary of 94 German and US case reports on the use of Pantheris in patients with in-stent restenosis reported no serious adverse events, no embolisms that required further procedures, and no device-stent problems.³⁵

As of January 2018, the US FDA's MAUDE (Manufacturer

and User Facility Device Experience) database included 21 reports of problems that occurred when the Pantheris system was used. 90 Some problems were due to operator error or malfunctions of non-Pantheris devices used in the procedure (such as guidewires or embolic protection devices). Other reports included guidewires kinking or wrapping around and damaging the catheter or making it difficult to remove, catheter and embolic protection devices becoming stuck in the sheath, catheter entanglement in stents, and detachment of the nose cone of the catheter. Adverse events included several reports of dissection and embolization (when an embolic protection device had either not been used or had malfunctioned).

Lithoplasty

In the 35 patient DISRUPT PAD I study, assessed at 30 days and six months, there were no reports of major adverse events (defined as emergency surgical revascularizations, target limb amputation, thrombosis or embolization, or perforation or dissections requiring intervention).²⁷ No target lesion revascularizations were detected at six months.²⁷

In the DISRUPT PAD I and II studies, summary reports indicate that at 30 days follow-up, 97% of patients had not experienced a major adverse event (e.g., death due to cardiovascular causes, target limb amputations, or complications due to thrombus or distal embolization).³⁷ At six-month follow-up, 96.8% of participants were free from major adverse events (six-month follow-up included 93 of the 95 patients in the study).^{37,85} One perforation or dissection occurred using the Lithoplasty treatment (1.1%). Three target lesion revascularizations occurred within six months (3.2%).³⁷

A press release on the 20 patients in the below-the-knee PAD (DISRUPT BTK) study noted a low rate of vascular complications (one dissection), with no major adverse events (death, heart attack, target limb revascularization, or amputation) at 30 days follow-up.⁸²

The Lithoplasty system had a limited US commercial release in 2017.³² As of January 2018, there were no problem reports for the Lithoplasty system in the FDA's MAUDE database.⁹⁰

Cost Considerations

Atherectomy is typically used in addition to treatment with balloon angioplasty, so the Pantheris system would be an additional cost. The use of distal embolization filters is usually recommended with atherectomy procedures, and this would



be a further cost. There is limited evidence suggesting that the additional cost of atherectomy may be offset by reduced use of stents, however, the studies to date have not included the Pantheris system.⁹¹

Endovascular interventions for PAD are less expensive than bypass surgery, mainly because they reduce the need for operating room time, have fewer complications than surgical interventions, and may be performed as outpatient procedures (i.e., reduced hospital length of stay).^{51,54,92} However, the potential need for repeat procedures with endovascular procedures could reduce some of the cost difference.⁵¹

We did not find any cost studies of the Pantheris or Lithoplasty devices.

Concurrent Developments

Intermittent pneumatic compression is being used in some centres for people with CLI who cannot undergo revascularization procedures. ⁹³ A recent meta-analysis concluded that this procedure may offer improved pain relief, wound healing, and limb salvage, but that, until better quality evidence is available, it should be considered "unproven." ⁹³

The SoundBite Crossing System (SoundBite Medical Solutions, Inc.), is another endovascular shockwave therapy system that is being investigated for chronic total occlusions in both coronary and peripheral arteries. 94,95 Limited evidence also suggests that extracorporeal shockwave therapy (currently used for musculoskeletal pain, wound care, non-union fractures, and ischemic heart disease) may improve blood flow and reduce pain in individuals with intermittent claudication. 44,96

Many different catheter systems, drug-coated balloon angioplasty devices, and bioabsorbable (i.e., temporary) peripheral artery stents are also being assessed for their potential in preventing restenosis, reducing the risk of stent fractures and late thrombosis, and making future revascularization treatments possible. 15,97-100

An earlier Avinger device, the Ocelot, intended for crossing chronic total occlusions in peripheral arteries, also incorporates optical coherence tomography guidance.⁸⁷

Implementation Issues

Practitioner Learning Curve

The Pantheris VISION trial estimated that clinicians needed to perform five procedures before they were comfortable with using the technology.²¹ No information on the learning curve for the Lithoplasty system was found.

Barriers to Peripheral Artery Disease Care in Canada

We did not find any information on specific barriers to introducing the Lithoplasty or Pantheris systems into Canadian health care. However, in Canada, and elsewhere, PAD is often unrecognized, under-diagnosed, and under-treated. ^{53,101} Barriers to detection of PAD include:

- · lack of access to the equipment needed
- limited time for patient consults in general practice to allow for testing
- inadequate education and training of health care providers to test for the condition
- low level of public awareness to advocate for receiving testing. ^{53,54,102}

A further barrier is limited funding for interventional radiology procedures, combined with increasing workload for interventional radiologists as more and more minimally invasive, endovascular treatments are used for a wide variety of conditions (Dr. Mark Baerlocher, Royal Victoria Hospital, Barrie, Ontario: personal communication, 30 Jan 2018).

Awareness and Prevention

A 2006 cross-sectional survey of 501 Canadian adults over age 50 found that one in three was aware of the condition. More recently, a 2014 survey of 237 Toronto residents found almost 80% of those surveyed had never heard of PAD, and among those who had, there was little knowledge of disease symptoms, risk factors, and prevention. Another Canadian study noted the need to increase education about PAD during medical training, including education on secondary prevention and rehabilitation. The authors also suggested that comprehensive prevention and treatment programs, similar to the Systematic Assessment of Vascular Risk (SAVR) program in Ontario, Se should be available across Canada.



The SAVR program successfully reduced the number of PAD-associated cardiovascular events (heart attack, stroke, and death) by 37%, and the number of limb amputations by 53% over a period of seven years. 68 The rate of angioplasties (with or without a stent) was three times higher in the SAVR intervention group — possibly because the participating physicians offered endovascular interventions sooner than at other centres, or because they were following their patients more closely, leading to earlier detection and treatment. 68

Peripheral Artery Disease and Diabetes

Early detection of PAD in individuals with diabetes is critical, but detection of PAD can be more difficult in those with diabetes, and screening tests may be less accurate. ⁵¹ A recent Health Quality Ontario quality standard on venous leg ulcers highlighted several gaps in wound care management, including regular testing for individuals with PAD, or those who are at risk for developing venous leg ulcers. ⁵⁵ Care for individuals with PAD is also linked to improving diabetic foot care.

Access to Multidisciplinary Care

Current guidelines stress the need for a multidisciplinary approach to treating PAD. 56,75,101,104 However, many Canadians with PAD, particularly those outside of urban centres, lack timely access to integrated care teams that include expertise in diabetes, wound care, podiatry, nutrition, physiotherapy, vascular interventional radiology and vascular surgery. 54 Lack of multidisciplinary care can contribute to reduced patient quality of life, higher rates of potentially preventable amputations, and increased health care costs. 54

Access to Interventional Radiology Technologies and Catheterization Laboratories

In Canada, vascular and interventional radiologists typically perform endovascular procedures for PAD. Increasingly, vascular surgeons are also performing these endovascular procedures. A recent report on interventional radiology in Canada noted that interventional radiology procedures, performed in a catheterization laboratory, offer cost savings through reduced use of hospital operating rooms, and either a shorter length of stay or through performing the procedure as an outpatient procedure. But, relative to other developed countries, Canada has lagged behind in adopting interventional radiology procedures, including those for the treatment of PAD. In 2013, only an estimated 2% of patients diagnosed and treated for PAD

in Canada received endovascular treatments, compared with 12% in the US, and 6% in Europe.⁹²

Reduced Exposure to Radiation

The Society of Interventional Radiology and the Society for Vascular Surgery guidelines note increasing levels of ionizing radiation exposure for both patients and health care providers — due to the increasing numbers, complexity, and duration of interventional procedures that require fluoroscopic guidance.^{8,105-107} As a safety issue, radiation exposure should be recorded in each patient's medical record and reported by studies of these interventions.^{8,105} Shorter fluoroscopy times were noted in the Pantheris VISION study (on average a reduction of five minutes between new users and experienced users of the technology), which suggests it may be possible to reduce radiation exposure after the initial learning curve, but comparisons of exposure times with different endovascular technologies are needed.²¹

Final Remarks

Currently, there is limited evidence on both the Pantheris and the Lithoplasty systems. This is not unique to these two technologies — recent guidelines and systematic reviews have noted the poor quality of evidence on atherectomy and many of the newer endovascular treatments for PAD.^{16,80,81,108} Some of the shortcomings in evidence may be addressed by the ongoing trials of Pantheris (INSIGHT) and Lithoplasty (DISRUPT PAD III). Further evidence gaps may be filled by the Best Endovascular versus Best Surgical Therapy for Patients with Critical Limb Ischemia (BEST-CLI) trial, which includes centres across Canada and the US and is expected to end in December 2019.^{109,110} The trial includes various endovascular technologies and will follow patients for at least two years to assess survival, amputation rates, quality of life, and the cost-effectiveness of the different treatments.¹⁰⁹

The Peripheral Academic Research Consortium explained that comparisons between treatments for lower extremity PAD are difficult for various reasons, including:9

- the lack of standardized terminology and different classification systems used to describe the patient's condition and type of lesion
- the multiple interventions and imaging methods used
- inadequate reporting of outcomes, and different methods of measuring procedural success in the clinical trials.⁹



Further complicating assessments of the evidence are the differing combinations of devices and interventions used in endovascular PAD interventions, and limited comparative evidence available.³⁰

During an interview, the 2016 American Heart Association (AHA)/ American College of Cardiology (ACC) Task Force Guideline Writing Committee on Management of Patients with Lower Extremity Peripheral Artery Disease concluded that PAD: "... remains understudied relative to other cardiovascular diseases, and many evidence gaps remain." Similarly, the 2015 Society for Vascular Surgery Practice Guidelines for Atherosclerotic Occlusive Disease of the Lower Extremities Guidelines on the Management of Asymptomatic Disease and Claudication notes that evidence from good quality studies that include outcomes important to patients is needed to guide decision-making in PAD.

In Canada, there is interest in improving awareness of screening, preventive interventions, access to treatments, and rehabilitation strategies for people with PAD. A recent Canadian commentary noted that, in addition to educating clinicians to screen their patients for PAD and providing support to highrisk individuals, "a directory of resources available to help treat patients" would be useful.⁵³ Similarly, Alberta researchers who conducted a 2016 review of rehabilitation therapy for PAD noted the need for a survey of PAD rehabilitation programs across Canada to identify service gaps.¹³



References

- Lovell M, Harris K, Forbes T, Twillman G, Abramson B, Criqui MH, et al. Peripheral arterial disease: lack of awareness in Canada. Can J Cardiol [Internet]. 2009 Jan [cited 2017 Nov 3];25(1):39-45. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2691879
- Peach G, Griffin M, Jones KG, Thompson MM, Hinchliffe RJ. Diagnosis and management of peripheral arterial. BMJ. 2012;345:e5208.
- Morley RL, Sharma A, Horsch AD, Hinchliffe RJ. Peripheral artery disease. BMJ. 2018 Feb 1;360:j5842. E-pub ahead of print.
- Vaidya A, Joore MA, Ten Cate-Hoek AJ, Ten Cate H, Severens JL. Screen or not to screen for peripheral arterial disease: guidance from a decision model. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2014 Jan 29 [cited 2017 Oct 10];14:89. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/. PMC3912926
- Gaps in public knowledge or peripheral arterial disease: the first Canadian P.A.D. public awareness survey. executive summary [Internet].
 Washington (DC): Peripheral Arterial Disease Coalition; 2009. [cited 2018 Jan 10]. Available from: http://vasculardisease.org/files/pad-public-awareness-survey.pdf
- Robertson L, Paraskevas KI, Stewart M. Angioplasty and stenting for peripheral arterial disease of the lower limbs: an overview of Cochrane Reviews (protocol). Cochrane Database of Syst Rev [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2017 Dec 21];(2):CD012542. Available from: http://www.cochrane.org/CD012542/
- Lovell M, Dickson C, Lindsay TF. Peripheral artery disease: the unknown cardiovascular risk. Diabetic Foot Canada. 2015;3(2):6-9.
- Stoner MC, Calligaro KD, Chaer RA, Dietzek AM, Farber A, Guzman RJ, et al. Reporting standards of the Society for Vascular Surgery for endovascular treatment of chronic lower extremity peripheral artery disease. J Vasc Surg. 2016 Jul;64(1):e1-e21.
- Patel MR, Conte MS, Cutlip DE, Dib N, Geraghty P, Gray W, et al. Evaluation and treatment of patients with lower extremity peripheral artery disease: consensus definitions from Peripheral Academic Research Consortium (PARC). J Am Coll Cardiol [Internet]. 2015 Mar 10 [cited 2017 Oct 12];65(9):931-41. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/ articles/PMC4874808/pdf/nihms-783885.pdf
- Fowkes FG, Aboyans V, Fowkes FJ, McDermott MM, Sampson UK, Criqui MH. Peripheral artery disease: epidemiology and global perspectives. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2017 Mar;14(3):156-70.
- Shishehbor MH, Jaff MR. Percutaneous therapies for peripheral artery disease. Circulation. 2016 Dec 13;134(24):2008-27.
- Sibley RC 3rd, Reis SP, MacFarlane JJ, Reddick MA, Kalva SP, Sutphin PD. Noninvasive physiologic vascular studies: a guide to diagnosing peripheral arterial disease. Radiographics. 2017 Jan;37(1):346-57.
- Aggarwal S, Moore RD, Arena R, Marra B, McBride A, Lamb B, et al. Rehabilitation therapy in peripheral arterial disease. Can J Cardiol. 2016 Oct;32(10S2):S374-S381.
- Rosenfield K, Garratt KN. The "appropriateness" of AUC for peripheral arterial disease: the story continues.. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2017 May 12. Epub ahead of print.

- Kokkinidis DG, Armstrong EJ. Emerging and future therapeutic options for femoropopliteal and fnfrapopliteal endovascular intervention. Intervent Cardiol Clin. 2017;6(2):279-95.
- Diamantopoulos A, Katsanos K. Atherectomy of the femoropopliteal artery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino). 2014 Oct;55(5):655-65.
- Shammas NW, Banerjee S. Should we routinely stent the femoropopliteal artery? An interventionalist's perspective. J Invasive Cardiol. 2015 Nov;27(11):E258-E261.
- Schneider PA. Evolution and current use of technology for superficial femoral and popliteal artery interventions for claudication. J Vasc Surg. 2017 Sep;66(3):916-23.
- Charitakis K, Feldman DN. Atherectomy for lower extremity intervention: why, when, and which device? [Internet]. Washington (DC): American College of Cardiology; 2015 Jun 16. [cited 2018 Jan 11]. (Latest In Cardiology). Available from: http://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/arti-cles/2015/06/16/07/58/atherectomy-for-lower-extremity-intervention
- Davis T, Ramaiah V, Niazi K, Martin GH, Crabtree T. Safety and effectiveness of the Phoenix Atherectomy System in lower extremity arteries: early and midterm outcomes from the prospective multicenter EASE study. Vascular. 2017 Jan 1. Epub ahead of print.
- Schwindt AG, Bennett JG Jr, Crowder WH, Dohad S, Janzer SF, George JC, et al. Lower extremity revascularization using optical coherence tomography-guided directional atherectomy: final results of the EValuation of the PantheriS Optical Coherence Tomography ImagiNg Atherectomy System for Use in the Peripheral Vasculature (VISION) Study. J Endovasc Ther. 2017 Jun;24(3):355-66.
- 22. Bhat TM, Afari ME, Garcia LA. Atherectomy in peripheral artery disease: a review. J Invasive Cardiol. 2017 Apr;29(4):135-44.
- Mittleider D, Russell E. Peripheral atherectomy. applications and techniques. Tech Vasc Interv Radiol. 2016 Jun;19(2):123-35.
- Akkus NI, Abdulbaki A, Jimenez E, Tandon N. Atherectomy devices: technology update. Med Devices (Auckl) [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2017 Oct 11];8:1-10. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4274140
- Medical Devices Active Licence Listing (MDALL) [Internet]. Ottawa: Health Canada; 2018 [cited 2018 Jan 15]. Available from: https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-health-products/medical-devices-active-licence-listing.html
- Rundback JH, Herman KC. Optimal use of atherectomy in critical limb ischemia. Tech Vasc Interv Radiol. 2014 Sep;17(3):211-8.
- Brodmann M, Werner M, Brinton TJ, Illindala U, Lansky A, Jaff MR, et al. Safety and performance of lithoplasty for treatment of calcified peripheral artery lesions. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017 Aug 15;70(7):908-10.
- Ali ZA, Brinton TJ, Hill JM, Maehara A, Matsumura M, Karimi GK, et al. Optical coherence tomography characterization of coronary lithoplasty for treatment of calcified lesions: first description. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2017 Aug;10(8):897-906.



- Lithoplasty System (Shockwave Medical, Inc.) for treating peripheral artery disease [Internet]. Plymouth Meeting (PA): ECRI Institute; 2017. [cited 2018 Jan 11]. (Health Technology Assessment Information Service. Product Brief). Available from: www.ecri.org Subscription required.
- Varcoe RL. Combination therapy for complex peripheral artery disease: the evidence for combining mechanical revascularization with anti-restenotic drug delivery systems. J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino). 2017 Oct;58(5):722-30.
- K163306: Shockwave medical lithoplasty system. In: 510(k) Premarket notification: summary [Internet]. Silver Spring (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2016 Dec 22 [cited 2017 Dec 13]. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf16/K163306.pdf
- Shockwave Medical announces positive 6-month DISRUPT PAD results in patients with calcified peripheral artery disease. Learn more [Internet]. Fremont (CA): Shockwave Medical; 2016. [cited 2018 Jan 11]. Available from: http://shockwavemedical.com/news/shockwave-medical-announces-positive-6-month-disrupt-pad-results-patients-calcified-peripheral-artery-disease-learn/
- George JC, Varghese V. Utility of image-guided atherectomy for optimal treatment of ambiguous lesions by angiography. Vasc Dis Manag [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2017 Dec 21];12(8):E140-E144. Available from: http:// www.vasculardiseasemanagement.com/sites/default/files/transfer/Image-Guided%20Atherectomy%20for%20Optimal%20Treatment%20of%20 Ambiguous%20Lesions%20by%20Angiography%20WM.pdf
- Ford O. Avinger snags CE mark for next-generation Pantheris, sets sights on ISR, BTK indications. BioWorld MedTech. 2017;21(246):1,6.
- Lichtenberg MK, Carr JG, Golzar JA. Optical coherence tomography: guided therapy of in-stent restenosis for peripheral arterial disease. J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino). 2017 Aug;58(4):518-27.
- K163264. Pantheris system. In: 510(k) Premarket notification: summary [Internet]. Silver Spring (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2016 [cited 2018 Jan 3]. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf16/K163264.pdf
- K161384: Shockwave medical lithoplasty system. In: 510(k) Premarket notification: summary [Internet]. Silver Spring (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2016 Sep 14 [cited 2017 Dec 13]. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf16/K161384.pdf
- 38. Shockwave Medical announces \$35 million in new financing [Internet]. Fremont (CA): Shockwave Medical Inc; 2017. [cited 2018 Jan 11]. Available from: http://shockwavemedical.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/SPL-61717-Rev-A-Financing-Release-Series-C-Extension.pdf
- De Silva K, Roy J, Webb I, Dworakowski R, Melikian N, Byrne J, et al. A calcific, undilatable stenosis: lithoplasty, a new tool in the box? JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2017 Feb 13;10(3):304-6.
- K152275: Pantheris system. In: 510(k) Premarket notification: summary [Internet]. Silver Spring (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2015 Oct 14 [cited 2017 Dec 13]. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf15/K152275.pdf
- K162326. Pantheris system. In: 510(k) Premarket notification: summary [Internet]. Silver Spring (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2016 [cited 2018 Jan 3]. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf16/K162326.pdf

- K160827. Pantheris catheter. In: 510(k) Premarket notification: summary [Internet]. Silver Spring (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 2016 Oct 14 [cited 2018 Jan 3]. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf16/K160827.pdf
- Avinger announces treatment of first patients globally with next generation Pantheris [press release] [Internet]. Redwood City (CA): Avinger Inc;
 2018. [cited 2018 Mar 7]. Available from: http://investors.avinger.com/
 phoenix.zhtml?c=253894&p=irol-newsArticle&ID=2324626
- Raza A, Harwood A, Totty J, Smith G, Chetter I. Extracorporeal shockwave therapy for peripheral arterial disease: a review of the potential mechanisms of action. Ann Vasc Surg. 2017 Jul 6.
- Dinca-Panaitescu S, Dinca-Panaitescu M, Bryant T, Daiski I, Pilkington B, Raphael D. Diabetes prevalence and income: results of the Canadian Community Health Survey. Health Policy. 2011 Feb;99(2):116-23.
- Corsi DJ, Lear SA, Chow CK, Subramanian SV, Boyle MH, Teo KK. Socioeconomic and geographic patterning of smoking behaviour in Canada: a cross-sectional multilevel analysis. PLoS ONE [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2018 Jan 11];8(2):e57646. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3585192
- Tobacco use in indigenous communities (First Nations, Inuit/Inuk & Métis) [Internet]. St. Catharines (ON): Leave The Pack Behind; 2017. [cited 2018 Jan 11]. Available from: https://www.leavethepackbehind. org/tobacco-use-in-indigenous-communities/
- Salvo NL, Walsh MD, Brewster LP. Promoting limb salvage through multi-disciplinary care of the diabetic patient. Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med. 2017 Jul;19(7):55.
- Botros M, Kuhnke J, Embil J, Goettl K, Morin C, Parsons L, et al. Best practice recommendations for the prevention and management of diabetic foot ulcers [Internet]. Toronto: Wounds Canada; 2018. [cited 2018 Jan 22]. Available from: https://www.woundscanada.ca/docman/public/health-care-professional/bpr-workshop/895-wc-bpr-prevention-and-management-of-diabetic-foot-ulcers-1573r1e-final/file
- Somayaji R, Elliott JA, Persaud R, Lim M, Goodman L, Sibbald RG.
 The impact of team based interprofessional comprehensive assessments on the diagnosis and management of diabetic foot ulcers: a retrospective cohort study. PLoS One [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Jan 22];12(9):e0185251. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5614568/
- Brownrigg JR, Apelqvist J, Bakker K, Schaper NC, Hinchliffe RJ. Evidence-based management of PAD & the diabetic foot. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2013 Jun;45(6):673-81.
- Imam B, Miller WC, Finlayson HC, Eng JJ, Jarus T. Incidence of lower limb amputation in Canada. Can J Public Health. 2017 Nov 9;108(4):e374-e380.
- 53. Evans R, Papia G. Peripheral artery disease: a hidden danger. Wound Care Canada [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Nov 13];14(2):16-20. Available from: https://www.woundscanada.ca/docman/public/wound-care-canada-magazine/2016-14-no2/97-peripheral-arterial-disease-a-hidden-danger/file
- Papia G, Mayer P, Kelton D, Queen D, Elliott JA, Kuhnke JL. Just leg pain? Think again: What health leaders must know about peripheral arterial disease. Healthc Manage Forum. 2015 Nov;28(6 Suppl):S5-S9.



- Klein AJ, Jaff MR, Gray BH, Aronow HD, Bersin RM, Diaz-Sandoval LJ, et al. SCAI appropriate use criteria for peripheral arterial interventions: an update. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2017 Dec 21];90:E90-E110. Available from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/ doi/10.1002/ccd.27141/abstract
- Gerhard-Herman MD, Gornik HL, Barrett C, Barshes NR, Corriere MA, Drachman DE, et al. 2016 AHA/ACC guideline on the management of patients with lower extremity peripheral artery disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation [Internet]. 2017 Mar 21 [cited 2018 Jan 15];135(12):e726-e779. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nib.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5477786/
- Dhanoa D, Baerlocher MO, Benko AJ, Benenati JF, Kuo MD, Dariushnia SR, et al. Position statement on noninvasive imaging of peripheral arterial disease by the Society of Interventional Radiology and the Canadian Interventional Radiology Association. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2016 Jul;27(7):947-51.
- 58. Society for Vascular Surgery Lower Extremity Guidelines Writing Group, Conte MS, Pomposelli FB, Clair DG, Geraghty PJ, McKinsey JF, et al. Society for Vascular Surgery practice guidelines for atherosclerotic occlusive disease of the lower extremities: management of asymptomatic disease and claudication. J Vasc Surg [Internet]. 2015 Mar [cited 2017 Oct 11];61(3 Suppl):2S-41S. Available from: https://ac.els-cdn.com/S0741521414022848/1-s2.0-S0741521414022848-main.pdf?tid=fd0592d4-ae8a-11e7-baeb-00000aacb361&acdnat=1507729943_fb2750fd756dbfc5ed2ef9027fcbcea0
- National Clinical Guideline Centre (UK). Lower limb peripheral arterial disease: diagnosis and management [Internet]. London: Royal College of Physicians (UK); 2012 Aug. [cited 2017 Oct 11]. (National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence: Guidance). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmedhealth/PMH0078139/pdf/PubMedHealth_PMH0078139.pdf
- 60. Peripheral arterial disease: diagnosis and management. Evidence review for determining diagnosis and severity of PAD in people with diabetes. Draft for consultation [Internet]. London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE); 2017. [cited 2018 Jan 11]. Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/GID-NG10073/documents/addendum
- Taylor D. Peripheral arterial disease (PAD). [Internet]. Ottawa: Canadian Society for Vascular Surgery; [cited 2017 Dec 6]. Available from: https://www.canadianvascular.ca/Peripheral-Arterial-Disease-(PAD)
- Tawfik F. Peripheral arterial disease and the diabetic foot. Diabet Foot Canada [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Jan 22];5(1):10-2. Available from: http://www.diabeticfootcanadajournal.ca/download/content/5042
- Misher JM, Galmer AM, Weinberg MW, Pellerito JS, Lau JF. Re-evaluating the appropriateness of non-invasive arterial vascular imaging and diagnostic modalities. Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med. 2017 Aug;19(8):63.
- 64. Guirguis-Blake JM, Evans CV, Redmond N, Lin JS. Screening for peripheral artery disease using the ankle-brachial index: an updated systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force [draft] [Internet]. Rockville (MD): U.S. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2018. [cited 2018 Jan 29]. (Evidence Synthesis; Number 165). Available from: https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Home/Get-File/1/16761/pad-screening-draft-evidence-review/pdf

- 65. Venous leg ulcers: care for patients in all settings [Internet]. Toronto (ON): Health Quality Ontario; 2017. [cited 2017 Dec 7]. (Quality standards). Available from: http://www.hqontario.ca/Portals/0/documents/ evidence/quality-standards/qs-venous-leg-ulcers-patient-guide-en.pdf
- 66. Choosing Wisely Canada. [Internet]. Ottawa: Canadian Medical Association and the Canadian Society for Vascular Surgery. Five things physicians and patients should question; 2017 [cited 2018 Jan 11]. Available from: https://choosingwiselycanada.org/vascular-surgery/
- 67. Walsworth MK, de Bie R, Figoni S, O'Connell J. Peripheral artery disease: what the orthopaedic physical therapist needs to know. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2017 Oct 9;1-26.
- Hussain MA, Al-Omran M, Mamdani M, Eisenberg N, Premji A, Saldanha L, et al. Efficacy of a guideline-recommended risk-reduction program to improve cardiovascular and limb outcomes in patients with peripheral arterial disease. JAMA Surg. 2016 Aug 1;151(8):742-50.
- Morcos R, Louka B, Tseng A, Misra S, McBane R, Esser H, et al. The evolving treatment of peripheral arterial disease through guideline-directed recommendations. J Clin Med [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2018 Jan 29];7(9):1-10. Available from: http://www.mdpi.com/2077-0383/7/1/9
- Cea-Soriano L, Fowkes FGR, Johansson S, Allum AM, Rodriguez LAG. Time trends in peripheral artery disease incidence, prevalence and secondary preventive therapy: a cohort study in The Health Improvement Network in the UK. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2018 Mar 1];8:e018184. Available from: http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/8/1/ e018184
- 71. Walker CM, Bunch FT, Cavros NG, Dippel EJ. Multidisciplinary approach to the diagnosis and management of patients with peripheral arterial disease. Clin Interv Aging [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2017 Oct 10];10:1147-53. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4504338
- Chung J, Modrall JG, Ahn C, Lavery LA, Valentine RJ. Multidisciplinary care improves amputation-free survival in patients with chronic critical limb ischemia. J Vasc Surg [Internet]. 2015 Jan [cited 2017 Oct 12];61(1):162-9. Available from: https://ac.els-cdn.com/S0741521414011276/1-s2.0-S0741521414011276-main.pdf?_tid=-10f3a46e-af5f-11e7-b3f5-00000aacb35e&acdnat=1507821030_64166dbc875976988a68b303f39558f2
- 73. Shishehbor MH, Aronow HD, Bartholomew JR, Beckman JA, Froehlich JB, Lookstein R, et al. Vascular specialist response to Medicare Evidence Development Coverage Advisory Committee (MEDCAC) panel on peripheral artery disease of the lower extremities. J Am Coll Radiol. 2016 Nov;13(11):1296-301.
- Wilkins LR, Sabri SS. Strategies to approaching lower limb occlusions. Tech Vasc Interv Radiol. 2016 Jun;19(2):136-44.
- 75. Jaff MR, White CJ, Hiatt WR, Fowkes GR, Dormandy J, Razavi M, et al. An update on methods for revascularization and expansion of the TASC lesion classification to include below-the-knee arteries: a supplement to the inter-society consensus for the management of peripheral arterial disease (TASC II): The TASC Steering Comittee(TASC II). Ann Vasc Dis [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2017 Oct 10];8(4):343-57. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4691515
- Kayssi A, Al-Jundi W, Papia G, Kucey DS, Forbes T, Rajan DK, et al. Drug-eluting balloon angioplasty versus uncoated balloon angioplasty for the treatment of in-stent restenosis of the femoropopliteal arteries



- (protocol). Cochrane Database Syst Rev [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2017 Dec 21];(1):Art. No.: CD012510. Available from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD012510/full
- Singh P, Harper Y, Oliphant CS, Morsy M, Skelton M, Askari R, et al. Peripheral interventions and antiplatelet therapy. role in current practice. World J Cardiol [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Jan 29];9(7):583-93. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5545142/
- Ochoa Chaar CI, Shebl F, Sumpio B, Dardik A, Indes J, Sarac T. Distal embolization during lower extremity endovascular interventions. J Vasc Surg. 2017 Jul;66(1):143-50.
- Armstrong EJ, Waldo SW. Prevention of distal embolization during peripheral vascular interventions: filtering the evidence. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2017 Feb 27:10(4):411-2.
- Ambler GK, Radwan R, Hayes PD, Twine CP. Atherectomy for peripheral arterial disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014 Mar 17;(3):CD006680.
- Jones WS, Schmit KM, Vemulapalli S, Subherwal S, Patel MR, Hasselblad V, et al. Treatment strategies for patients with peripheral artery disease [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2013 May. [cited 2018 Jan 11]. (AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Reviews). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK148574/
- Shockwave Medical reports positive results from first study of lithoplasty system in calcified lesions below the knee: results of DISRUPT BTK study demonstrate promise for challenging patient populations [Internet]. Fremont (CA): Shockwave Medical Inc; 2017. [cited 2018 Jan 11]. Available from: http://shockwavemedical.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/SPL-61686-rev-A-BTK-CIRSE-press-release.pdf
- Shockwave Medical Inc. Shockwave Medical Peripheral Lithoplasty System Study for PAD (Disrupt PAD III). 2016 Oct 4. In: ClinicalTrials. gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): U.S. National Library of Medicine; 2000 -Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02923193 NLM Identifier. NCT02923193.
- 84. Pfaff K. Shockwave closes \$35M series C financing for development of its Lithoplasty System. BioWorld MedTech. 2017;21(197):1,4.
- 85. Safety and performance of the Lithoplasty® Technology System [Internet]. Fremont (CA): Shockwave Medical Inc; 2017. [cited 2018 Jan 11]. Available from: http://shockwavemedical.com/intl/clinical-data/disrupt-pad-i/
- Cawich I, Paixao AR, Marmagkiolis K, Lendel V, Rodriguez-Araujo G, Rollefson WA, et al. Immediate and intermediate-term results of optical coherence tomography guided atherectomy in the treatment of peripheral arterial disease: Initial results from the VISION trial. Cardiovasc Revasc Med. 2016 Oct;17(7):463-7.
- Sewall LE. Treatment of chronic total occlusions using the Avinger Ocelot crossing catheter. Semin Intervent Radiol [Internet]. 2015 Dec [cited 2017 Oct 10];32(4):370-3. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pmc/articles/PMC4640918
- Dohad S, Shao J, Cawich I, Kankaria M, Desai A. Diagnostic imaging capabilities of the Ocelot -Optical Coherence Tomography System, ex-vivo evaluation and clinical relevance. BMC Med Imaging [Internet]. 2015 Nov 18 [cited 2017 Oct 10];15:57. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4650951

- Avinger Inc. Pantheris atherectomy treatment of in-stent restenosis in lower extremity arteries (INSIGHT). 2017 Jun 20 [cited 2018 Jan 15]. In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): U.S. National Library of Medicine; 2000 - . Available from: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03192696 NLM Identifier. NCT03192696.
- MAUDE Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience [Internet].
 Silver Spring (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration; 1991 [cited 2018
 Jan 15]. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfMAUDE/results.cfm
- Weinstock B, Dattilo R, Diage T. Cost-effectiveness analysis of orbital atherectomy plus balloon angioplasty vs balloon angioplasty alone in subjects with calcified femoropopliteal lesions. Clinicoecon Outcomes Res [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2017 Oct 10];6:133-9. Available from: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3964026
- 92. Interventional radiology: global landscape and cost effectiveness [Internet]. Toronto: Millennium Research Group; 2014. Report No.: RFP2671. [cited 2018 Jan 15]. Available from: http://www.ciraweb.org/uploads/files/RFP%202671%20Interventional%20Radiology%20-%20Global%20 Landscape%20and%20Cost%20Effectiveness.pdf
- Moran PS, Teljeur C, Harrington P, Ryan M. A systematic review of intermittent pneumatic compression for critical limb ischemia [Internet]. Vasc Med. 2015 [cited 2018 Jan 11];20(1):40-50. Available from: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1358863X14552096
- Berube S, Benko A, Despatis MA, Riel LP, Brodmann M, Therasse E, et al. Novel croossing system for the recanalization of complex chronic total cclusions: ex vivo proof of concept of the SoundBite Crossing System. J Invasive Cardiol. 2017 Apr;29(4):E47-E50.
- Benko A, Berube S, Buller CE, Dion S, Riel LP, Brouillette M, et al. Novel crossing system for chronic total occlusion recanalization: first-in-man experience with the SoundBite Crossing System. J Invasive Cardiol. 2017 Feb:29(2):E17-E20.
- Cayton T, Harwood A, Smith GE, Chetter I. A systematic review of extracorporeal shockwave therapy as a novel treatment for intermittent claudication. Ann Vasc Surg. 2016 Aug;35:226-33.
- 97. Klein AJ, Pinto DS, Gray BH, Jaff MR, White CJ, Drachman DE, et al. SCAI expert consensus statement for femoral-popliteal arterial intervention appropriate use. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2014 Oct 1;84(4):529-38.
- Bontinck J, Goverde P, Schroe H, Hendriks J, Maene L, Vermassen F. Treatment of the femoropopliteal artery with the bioresorbable REMEDY stent. J Vasc Surg. 2016 Nov;64(5):1311-9.
- Panico A, Jafferani A, Shah F, Dieter RS. Advances in peripheral arterial disease endovascular revascularization. Cardiol Clin. 2015 Feb;33(1):89-08
- Pfaff K. Abbott enters agreement with Surmodics to market its Surveil drug coated balloon. BioWorld MedTech. 2018;22(40):1-3.
- Lawall H, Huppert P, Espinola-Klein C, Zemmrich CS, Ruemenapf G. German guideline on the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral artery disease - a comprehensive update 2016. VASA [Internet]. 2017 Mar [cited 2017 Oct 10];46(2):79-86. Available from: http://econtent. hogrefe.com/doi/pdf/10.1024/0301-1526/a000603
- Haigh KJ, Bingley J, Golledge J, Walker PJ. Barriers to screening and diagnosis of peripheral artery disease by general practitioners. Vasc Med. 2013 Dec;18(6):325-30.

CADTH

- El Morr C, AlHamzah M, Ng P, Purewal A, Al-Omran M. Knowledge of peripheral arterial disease: results of an intervention to measure and improve PAD knowledge in Toronto. Vascular. 2017 Oct;25(5):479-87.
- 104. Task FM, Aboyans V, Ricco JB, Bartelink MEL, Bjorck M, Brodmann M, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral arterial diseases, in collaboration with the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2017 Aug 26. Epub ahead of print.
- Baerlocher MO, Kennedy SA, Ward TJ, Nikolic B, Bakal CW, Lewis CA, et al. Society of Interventional Radiology: resource and environment recommended standards for IR. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2017 [cited 2018 Jan 29]:28:513-6.
- Rafiei P, Walser EM, Duncan JR, Rana H, Ross JR, Kerlan RK, et al. Society of Interventional Radiology IR pre-procedure patient safety checklist by the Safety and Health Committee. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2016:27:695-9.
- Miller DL, Balter S, Dixon RG, Nikolic B, Bartal G, Cardella JF, et al. Quality improvement guidelines for recording patient radiation dose in the medical record for fluoroscopically guided procedures. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2012:23:11-8.
- 108. Jones WS, Dolor RJ, Hasselblad V, Vemulapalli S, Subherwal S, Schmit K, et al. Comparative effectiveness of endovascular and surgical revascularization for patients with peripheral artery disease and critical limb ischemia: systematic review of revascularization in critical limb ischemia. Am Heart J. 2014 Apr;167(4):489-98.

- 109. New England Research Institutes. Best endovascular versus best surgical therapy in patients with critical limb ischemia. 2014 Feb 6 [cited 2017 Dec 21; Last update posted: 2017 Jun 21]. In: ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): U.S. National Library of Medicine; 2000 . Available from: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/record/NCT02060630 NLM Identifier. NCT02060630.
- 110. Menard MT, Farber A, Assmann SF, Choudhry NK, Conte MS, Creager MA, et al. Design and rationale of the best endovascular versus Best surgical therapy for patients with Critical Limb Ischemia (BEST-CLI) trial. J Am Heart Assoc [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Jan 29];5(7):e003219. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5015366/
- Armstrong EJ, Gornik HL, Hamburg NM, Kinlay S. The 2016 AHA/ACC guideline on the management of patients with lower extremity peripheral artery fisease: an interview with SVM members of the writing committee. Vasc Med. 2017 Apr;22(2):170-3.
- 112. Conte MS, Pomposelli FB. Society for vascular surgery practice guidelines for atherosclerotic occlusive disease of the lower extremities management of asymptomatic disease and claudication. Introduction. J Vasc Surg [Internet]. 2015 Mar [cited 2017 Oct 12];61(3 Suppl):1S. Available from: https://ac.els-cdn.com/S0741521414022812/1-s2.0-S0741521414022812-main.pdf?_tid=74d-9fec2-af61-11e7-86d9-00000aacb35e&acdnat=1507822056_57aa30f-9b996125ad7549e8ab936fc4a